

# BIC/FTC/TAF as HIV PEP was well-tolerated with high adherence and no seroconversions

**Darrell H. S. Tan**<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Reva Persaud<sup>1</sup>, Attia Qamar<sup>4</sup>, Isaac Bogoch<sup>2,5</sup>, Arlene Chan<sup>6</sup>, Allison Chris<sup>7</sup>, Karla Fisher<sup>5</sup>, Richard T. Lester<sup>8</sup>, John Maxwell<sup>9</sup>, James Murray<sup>10</sup>, Hong Qian<sup>11</sup>, Hubert Wong<sup>11</sup>

1. MAP Centre for Urban Health Solutions, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Canada; 3. Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; 3. 4. Scarborough Health Network, Toronto, Canada; 5. Division of Infectious Diseases, Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 7. Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 8. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; 1. Toronto, Canada; 2. Toronto, Canada; 2. Toronto, Canada; 2. Toronto, Canada; 2. Toronto, Canada; 3. Toronto, Canada 9. AIDS Committee of Toronto (ACT), Toronto, Canada; 10. AIDS Bureau, Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Toronto, Canada; 11. CIHR Canadian HIV Trials Network, Vancouver, Canada

# BACKGROUND

- Integrase strand transfer inhibitors-based regimens have become standard of care for HIV post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP)
- No single tablet regimens recommended in current Canadian guidelines

# **OBJECTIVES**

• Describe 1. tolerability and 2. adherence to bictegravir, emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF) as HIV PEP in an ongoing clinical trial of text message support vs standard of care

# **METHODS**

- Design: Descriptive analysis of participants enrolled in an RCT of a textmessaging intervention (Weltel) for supporting PEP follow-up
- <u>Eligibility:</u>
  - HIV-negative adults aged ≥18 years
- Initiated PEP within past 6 days for sexual exposure
- Able/willing to receive texts via mobile phone
- Able to communicate in English
- Recruitment (Figure 1):
- Information cards given to patients receiving PEP in emergency departments
- Study procedures (Figure 2):
- At enrollment, participants switched to BIC/FTC/TAF to complete 28 days
- Adherence (#days of PEP taken) assessed via telephone call at week 4
- Adverse events assessed at week 4 and week 13 follow-up visits
- **HIV status** assessed at baseline, week 6, week 12
- Descriptive analysis of
- Participant characteristics
- Adverse events, Adherence, HIV seroconversions

# Figure 1: Recruitment card





# Figure 2: Study design

PEP initiation Enrollment

PEP use

## Follow-up phase



Assessments

Week 12: HIV serology

• Week 13: Final visit, AEs

**BIC/FTC/TAF** was associated with high tolerability, high adherence and no HIV seroconversions, supporting use of this single tablet regimen as HIV PEP after sexual exposures.

### RESULTS

• Of 120 enrolled participants, 1 was HIV seropositive at baseline leaving n=119 included in the analysis.

Table 1: Participant characteristics (n=119) <sup>a</sup>									
Characteristic	Value	CharacteristicIC	Value						
Age	29.3 (25.8, 34.4)	No. partners in 72h prior to PEP	1 (1,1) <sup>c</sup>						
Sexual orientation and genderMen who have sex with men97 (81)Heterosexual men16 (13)Heterosexual women7 (6)Ethnoracial identity16 (20)White16 (20)Black8 (10)Asian34 (43)Latin American13 (16)Identity other than these or mixed9 (11)	97 (81) 16 (13) 7 (6)	Type of condomless exposure <sup>b</sup> Anal insertive Anal receptive w ejaculation Anal receptive without ejaculation Vaginal insertive	40 (34) 36 (30) 21 (18) 15 (13)						
	16 (20) 8 (10) 34 (43) 13 (16) 9 (11)	Vaginal receptive w ejaculation Vaginal receptive w/out ejaculation	4 (3) 3 (3)						
		Partner's reported HIV status Positive Negative or unknown	12 (10) 107 (90)						
Comorbidities in ≥5% of sample ADHD Anxiety/depression	6 (5) 7 (6)	Initially prescribed PEP regimen DTG + TDF/FTC RAL + TDF/FTC BIC/FTC/TAF	106 (89) 2 (2) 11 (9)						
No. times previously used PEP 0	91 (77) 24 (20) 4 (3)	Days of PEP before BIC/FTC/TAF	2 (1, 3)						
1 2		Prior knowledge of PrEP Unaware	10 (12)						
No. with prior diagnosis of STI Gonorrhea	21 (18) 24 (20) 2 (2) 15 (13) 7 (6) 4 (3)	Aware but never used PrEP Previously used PrEP	59 (73) 12 (15)						
Chlamydia Lymphogranuloma venereum Syphilis Genital warts Genital herpes		HIV testing prior to this episode Never Within past 6 mo Past 6-24 months Tested but timing not provided	14 (12) 36 () 2 (5) 67 (61)						
Hours from exposure to PEP initiation	23 (13, 39)								

<sup>a</sup> Values represent median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) or frequency (percentage). Values may not all sum to 119 due to missing values.

<sup>b</sup> Highest risk type of sexual exposure reported

<sup>c</sup> Range: 1-6

# RESULTS

**Tolerability:** Only 10% experienced adverse events of grade ≥2 severity

Adverse event	Overall N (% of participants)	Severity grade ≥2 N (% of participants)	Any grade, at least possibly related to study drug N (% of participants)					
Anorexia	3 (3%)	0 (0%)	3 (3%)					
Diarrhea	11(8%)	4 (3%)	10 (8%)					
Dizziness	5 (4%)	0 (0%)	5 (4%)					
Fatigue	24(20%)	2 (2%)	24 (20%)					
Headache	9 (8%)	0 (0%)	9 (8%)					
Nausea	14 (12%)	0 (0%)	14 (12%)					
Sleep disturbance	6 (4%)	0 (0%)	6 (4%)					

completing  $\geq$ 28 days of PEP

# Figure 3: Total number of days of PEP taken



- No HIV seroconversions among n=66 (55%) of participants tested at week 12 • N=28 (23%) of participants initiated on PrEP by final visit
- N=15 (13%) linked to other primary care/mental health providers

## DISCUSSION

- Limitations:
- Biomarkers of PEP adherence not done
- Some AEs may be related to prior PEP drugs since participants switched to BIC/FTC/TAF after a median of 2 (1,3) days of another regimen
- Findings similar to other reports of BIC/FTC/TAF PEP (n=164 total)
- JAIDS 2022;90:27-32 and Chinese Med J 2022;135(22)
- Conclusions:
- BIC/FTC/TAF PEP was safe, well-tolerated and associated with high adherence and no HIV seroconversions.
- BIC/FTC/TAF is an appropriate single tablet INSTI-based HIV PEP regimen

### **CORRESPONDENCE:** <u>darrell.tan@gmail.com</u> ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS





UNITY HEALTH

### Table 2: Adverse events occurring in >3% of participants

Adherence: 90/102 or 88% of participants with available data reported

			84						
1	1	2	2	5		4	2		
8	22	24	26	27	28	29	31		
				-					

Total number of days of PEP taken

We gratefully acknowledge grant funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the CIHR Canadian HIV Trials Network, and in-kind support from Gilead Sciences Inc.

DHST holds a Tier 2 Canada Research Chair in HIV Prevention and STI Research







