

Meredith E. Clement¹, Zhe Wang², Carl Fichtenbaum³, Schuyler Thomas⁴, Jose A. Bazan⁵, Paul Richardson⁶, Matthew A. Spinelli⁷, Brett Hanscom², Alex Rinehart⁸, Jim Rooney⁹, Adeola Adeyeye¹⁰, Marybeth McCauley¹¹, Myron S. Cohen¹², Beatriz Grinsztejn¹³, Raphael J. Landovitz¹⁴

¹Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA, USA, ²Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA USA, ³University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA, ⁴University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁵The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA, ⁶The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA, ⁷University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA, ⁸ViiV Healthcare, Durham, NC, USA ⁹Gilead Sciences, Inc, Foster City, CA, USA ¹⁰Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, ¹¹FHI 360, Washington, DC, USA ¹²University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA, ¹³Instituto de Pesquisa Clinica Evandro Chagas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ¹⁴UCLA Center for Clinical AIDS Research & Education, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

BACKGROUND

- In HPTN 083, a global, double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted among 4566 cisgender men and transgender women (TGW) who have sex with men, long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB-LA) was superior to daily oral tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) for HIV prevention. Participants were enrolled December 2016 - March 2020.
- At the first planned interim review in May 2020, an independent data and safety monitoring board recommended the study be unblinded; in April 2021, the protocol was amended as an open-label extension (OLE) in which participants were offered the choice of open-label CAB-LA or to complete study participation with daily oral TDF/FTC.
- United States (US) sites transitioned to OLE before other regions; thus this analysis is limited to US participants.

METHODS

- Product choices were compared between the following demographic subgroups: age, gender, race, ethnicity, education, and original randomized regimen using chi-squared tests.
- Reported reason for choice of regimen is also described.

RESULTS

- Total US enrollment was 1698 participants, of whom 803 (47.2%) had regimen choice data available.
- 770 (95.9%) chose CAB-LA and 33 (4.1%) chose TDF/FTC. Characteristics of participants are shown in Table 1.
- Among those initially randomized to CAB-LA (n=415), 13 (3.1%) chose TDF/FTC and 402 (96.9%) chose CAB-LA.
- Among those initially randomized to TDF/FTC (n=388), 20 (5.2%) chose TDF/FTC and 368 (94.8%) chose CAB-LA.
- Choice differences by original randomized study arm were not statistically significant, nor were there significant differences by age, cohort, race, ethnicity, or education status.

RESULTS, cont.

Table 1. Product Choice in the Open Label Extension by Demographic Subgroup

| | Total n (%) | Product Choice | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | TDF/FTC n (%) | CAB-LA n (%) |
| Participants | 803 | 33 (4.1) | 770 (95.9) |
| Age | | | |
| 18-24 | 239 (29.8) | 12 (5.0) | 227 (95.0) |
| 25-29 | 230 (28.6) | 9 (3.9) | 221 (96.1) |
| 30-39 | 188 (23.4) | 8 (4.3) | 180 (95.7) |
| 40+ | 146 (18.2) | 4 (2.7) | 142 (97.3) |
| Cohort | | | |
| MSM | 738 (91.9) | 31 (4.2) | 707 (95.8) |
| TGW | 65 (8.1) | 2 (3.1) | 63 (96.9) |
| Race | | | |
| Black | 398 (49.6) | 19 (4.8) | 379 (95.2) |
| Mixed Race, including Black | 20 (2.5) | 2 (10.0) | 18 (90.0) |
| Other | 385 (47.9) | 12 (3.1) | 373 (96.9) |
| Ethnicity | | | |
| Hispanic/Latinx | 140 (17.4) | 3 (2.1) | 137 (97.9) |
| Not Hispanic/Latinx | 663 (82.6) | 30 (4.5) | 633 (95.5) |
| Education | | | |
| College/University or Higher | 613 (76.3) | 26 (4.2) | 587 (95.8) |
| Other | 190 (23.7) | 7 (3.7) | 183 (96.3) |
| Original Randomization Arm | | | |
| TDF/FTC | 388 (48.3) | 20 (5.2) | 368 (94.8) |
| Cabotegravir | 415 (51.7) | 13 (3.1) | 402 (96.9) |

Table 2. Reason for choosing CAB-LA or TDF/FTC

| Reason for choosing CAB-LA (n=770) | N (%) |
|--|------------|
| Prefer injection and/or don't like pills | 541 (70.3) |
| CAB-LA shown to be superior to TDF/FTC for HIV prevention | 112 (14.5) |
| CAB more convenient, discreet, or easier to adhere to | 37 (4.8) |
| Want to avoid side effects of TDF/FTC | 32 (4.2) |
| Contribute to research or research-dependent Issue | 16 (2.1) |
| Curious to try something new | 12 (1.6) |
| More than one response | 5 (0.6) |
| Other | 15 (1.9) |
| Reason for choosing TDF/FTC (n=33) | |
| Don't like injections and/or prefer pills | 17 (51.5) |
| The potential side effects of TDF/FTC are better understood or preferable to those of CAB-LA | 4 (12.1) |
| Concerned about resistance if injectable PrEP fails | 4 (12.1) |
| Scheduling constraints/difficulties with visits | 4 (12.1) |
| Undecided or not yet ready for CAB | 2 (6.1) |
| Prior injection site reactions | 1 (3.0) |
| Does not like long-term commitment of injections | 1 (3.0) |

LIMITATIONS

- This study is limited in that only half of US participants had product choice data available due in part to significant loss to follow-up. An additional limitation is that individuals preferring an oral PrEP regimen may not have chosen to enroll in HPTN 083.

Nearly all HPTN 083 participants from the US chose CAB-LA over oral TDF/FTC upon transition to the open-label extension phase of the study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the HPTN 083 participants and the study teams.