

1 Department of Pharmacy, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences (RIHS), Radboud University of Zimbabwe. 3 Baylor College of Medicine, Kampala, Uganda. 4 Children's Infectious Diseases Clinical Research Unit (KID-CRU), University of Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa 5 Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa 7 Joint Clinical Research Centre, Mbarara, Uganda

## Background

- ODYSSEY (*ClinicalTrials.gov;* NCT02259127) is a phase ongoing international randomised trial evaluating dolutegra (DTG)-based antiretroviral therapy (ART) versus standard-of-ca in HIV-infected children starting first- or second-line ART.
- Currently, DTG is recommended as a preferred 3<sup>rd</sup> agent antiretroviral regimens for children living with HIV for whom D dose is known.<sup>[1]</sup>
- While in adults there is evidence that the effect of rifampicin(RI) based TB treatment on DTG pharmacokinetics can be overcome doubling DTG dose in adults.<sup>[3]</sup> In children there are currently data available to support this approach.
- This pharmacokinetic (PK) substudy assessed all children received RIF-based TB treatment at PK sites.

# **Objectives**

- To estimate the impact of RIF on DTG plasma concentrations children using Geometric Mean Ratios (GMR) for twice da weight-appropriate DTG dose given with RIF (DTG BID+RIF) ov once daily DTG without RIF (DTG QD)
- To assess safety of DTG dose doubling in children

# **Participants and Methods (I)**

## **Pharmacokinetics**

- Children in ODYSSEY receiving DTG BID+RIF at PK sites Uganda, Zimbabwe and South Africa, with additional inform consent, were included in the PK part of the substudy
- Children received (i) DTG 25mg or 50mg BID+RIF (PK day followed by QD (PK day 2); DTG dosing depended on the curr weight-appropriate DTG dose used in the ODYSSEY trial
- PK day 1: PK curve on DTG BID in the last month of RIF treatm PK day 2: PK curve on DTG QD  $\geq$ 4 weeks after stopping (Figure 1)
- PK parameters were compared to historical PK paramet achieved in HIV-positive adults, taking DTG 50mg FCT QD<sup>[3]</sup>
- GMRs were estimated using a mixed model method compar DTG PK parameters between PK day 1 and 2.
- DTG plasma concentrations were measured using a validation UPLC-MS/MS with an LLOQ of 0.01 mg/L<sup>[4]</sup>

## Safety

- All children at PK sites who received DTG BID+RIF aged 6years were included in the safety part of the substudy and we followed for serious adverse events (SAEs), grade 3/4 clinical laboratory adverse events (AEs) and any AEs resulting in A modification from start of DTG BID + RIF to 30 days after return DTG QD
- AEs were reviewed by an independent blinded endpoint revi committee (ERC) blinded to randomised allocation

# Acknowledgements









# Adequate dolutegravir exposure dosed BID with rifampicin in children 6 to <18 years Hylke Waalewijn<sup>1</sup>, Hilda Angela Mujuru<sup>2</sup>, Pauline Amuge<sup>3</sup>, Mark Cotton<sup>4</sup>, Pauline Bollen<sup>1</sup>, Man Chan<sup>5</sup>, Shabinah Ali<sup>5</sup>, Ebrahim Variava<sup>6</sup>, Shafic Makumbi<sup>7</sup>, Angela Colbers<sup>1</sup>, Di Gibb<sup>5</sup>, Deborah Ford<sup>5</sup>, David Burger<sup>1</sup>,

				Nesult	<b>3</b> (11)	
ast month of co- administration	ks	2 weeks		Pharmacol	kinetics	
R + DTG BID     DTG BID       Inducing ef RIF is decr       PK-Day 1       (12h curve)	DTG BID	DTG QD DTG Q New steady state is forming	D DTG QD	<ul> <li>Of 31 e substudy</li> <li>13/17 pa curve. 17</li> </ul>	ligible children, 17 wei / rticipants undertaking P 1 had evaluable PK on	re enrolled in the K had ≥1 evaluable both PK days; 1 cł
Figure 1: Sequential study. Samples taker ) or 24 (PK day 2)	intrasubje n at T=0,1,2	ct pharmacoki 2,3,4,6, and 12	netic sub- (PK day	<ul> <li>10 PK c missed F (n=1), st</li> </ul>	urves in 6 children were PK day (n=2), missed s ispected non-adherence	amples during PK c anot evaluable due amples during PK c to ART (n=7)
Results (I)				Mean pl     DTG BID	lasma concentration ve ) + RIF and DTG QD for	ersus time profiles 50mg (n=8) and 25
<ul> <li>31 children, me 16(52%) males, 30.7 (28.3, 39.6)</li> </ul>	edian (ran were follov weeks	ge) age 12.2 wed for a medi	(5.9-17.6), an (IQR) of	<ul> <li>(n=5) are</li> <li>Doubling</li> <li>in compared</li> <li>1.56 (90)</li> </ul>	e shown in <u>Figure 2</u> J of DTG dose in combine arable AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> and C <sub>ma</sub> %CI 1.09 – 2.25) ( <u>Table</u>	nation with RIF result ax; GMR for C <sub>trough</sub> w <b>1</b> )
<ul> <li>13 adverse event</li> <li>Of 9 serious adverse adverse grade 3, ar</li> <li>with 1 event lead</li> <li>A). 1 death (TB-rest)</li> </ul>	ts were repo rerse events nd 4 grade ding to DT( elated)	orted in 8 childre s (SAEs), 1 was 4. All were hos G discontinuatio	en s grade 2, 4 pitalizations on (hepatitis	<ul> <li>Clearance inducing and 2.05</li> <li>25mg, and 5</li> </ul>	ce of DTG is increased effect of RIF CL/F (GM 5 for DTG 50mg) and T nd 0.56 for DTG 50mg) (	d as expected by t R 1.36 for DTG 25n (GMR 0.60 for D <sup>-</sup> ( <b>Table 1</b> )
anaemia with cli	nical sympt					
<ul> <li>anaemia with clin disseminated/milit</li> <li>All events were of investigators and</li> <li>Table 1: Participant of objiction 6 &lt; 12 years</li> </ul>	nical sympt itary TB considered l independe	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet	I to DTG by	s (GMR) for D	TG BID+RIF versus DTC	G QD by DTG dose in
<ul> <li>anaemia with clin disseminated/mill</li> <li>All events were of investigators and</li> <li>Table 1: Participant of children 6-&lt;18 years</li> <li>Parameter</li> </ul>	nical sympt itary TB considered independe	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 25	s (GMR) for D children 6-<12	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13)
<ul> <li>anaemia with clin disseminated/mill</li> <li>All events were of investigators and</li> <li>Table 1: Participant of children 6-&lt;18 years</li> <li>Parameter</li> <li>Sex</li> </ul>	nical sympt itary TB considered independe	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 25	s (GMR) for D children 6-<18 5mg (n=5) 3/2	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5
anaemia with clin disseminated/mili • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg	nical sympt itary TB considered l independe demographi included in	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1	<pre>values (</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5
anaemia with clin disseminated/mill • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k	nical symptotical symptotical symptotical symptotic itary TB considered independed independed included in	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.1 (1)	<pre>values (</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5) 2.5 (1.8-3.6)
anaemia with clin disseminated/mill • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)*	nical symptotic symptot symptotic sy	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 23 24.9 (1 2.1 (1 2.10 (1	<pre>values (</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5) 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25)
anaemia with clin disseminated/mill • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/H C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)*	nical symptotic symptot symptotic symptotic symptotic symptot sy	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 23 24.9 (1 2.1 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0.	<pre>values (</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) †	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25 1.16 (0.88-1.54)
anaemia with clin disseminated/mill • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)*	nical sympt itary TB considered l independe demographi included in	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratio he substudy in DTG 24 24.9 (1 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0	<pre>s (GMR) for D children 6-&lt;12 omg (n=5) 3/2 9.8-27.7) 1.8-2.5) .20-3.68) 99-2.19) † 0.73-1.41)</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) † 0.86 (0.64-1.37)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25 1.16 (0.88-1.54 0.93 (0.74-1.16
anaemia with clin disseminated/milit • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)* T <sub>1/2</sub> (h)*	nical symptotic symptot symptotic symptotic symptotic symptot sy	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0 0.60 (0	<pre>s (GMR) for D children 6-&lt;12 omg (n=5) 3/2 9.8-27.7) 1.8-2.5) .20-3.68) 99-2.19) † 0.73-1.41) 0.46-0.78)</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) + 0.86 (0.64-1.37) 0.56 (0.39-0.80)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25 1.16 (0.88-1.54 0.93 (0.74-1.16 0.59 (0.49-0.72)
anaemia with clin disseminated/mili • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)* T <sub>1/2</sub> (h)*	nical symptoticary TB considered l independe demographi included in	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0 0.60 (0 0.81 (0	<pre>s (GMR) for D children 6-&lt;12 5mg (n=5) 3/2 9.8-27.7) 1.8-2.5) .20-3.68) 99-2.19) † 0.73-1.41) 0.46-0.78) 0.48-1.37)</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) + 0.86 (0.64-1.37) 0.56 (0.39-0.80) 1.20 (0.75-1.94)	G QD by DTG dose i Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25 1.16 (0.88-1.54 0.93 (0.74-1.16 0.59 (0.49-0.72 1.03 (0.73-1.45)
anaemia with clin disseminated/mili • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)* T <sub>1/2</sub> (h)* Vd/F (L)* CL/F (L/h)*	nical symptotic itary TB considered independe demographic included in kg	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI)	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0 0.60 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0	<pre>values (</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) + 0.86 (0.64-1.37) 0.56 (0.39-0.80) 1.20 (0.75-1.94) 2.05 (1.32-3.19)	G QD by DTG dose i Overall (n=13 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.8 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.28 1.16 (0.88-1.54 0.93 (0.74-1.16 0.59 (0.49-0.72 1.03 (0.73-1.48 1.72 (1.28-2.37)
anaemia with clin disseminated/mill • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)* T <sub>1/2</sub> (h)* Vd/F (L)* CL/F (L/h)* * GMRs (90% CI) for DTG BID+F † Individual AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> while on B PK profiles were included in PK (C0: Ctrough ratio ≥15) Table 2: PK paramet	nical symptotic symptotic symptotic ary TB considered independed independed included in symptotic area and s	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) Comparence) multiplied by 2 for extra tics if samples from at l	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.1 ( 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0 0.60 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0 bolation to AUC <sub>0.24h</sub> an east 4 timepoints were	<pre>s (GMR) for D children 6-&lt;18 omg (n=5) 3/2 9.8-27.7) 1.8-2.5) .20-3.68) 99-2.19) † 0.73-1.41) .46-0.78) .48-1.37) 0.91-2.01) d used for calculation e available including</pre>	TG BID+RIF versus DTG 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) $0.98 (0.61-1.51) \dagger$ 0.86 (0.64-1.37) 0.56 (0.39-0.80) 1.20 (0.75-1.94) 2.05 (1.32-3.19) m of GMR for AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> on BID versus Cmax, and were excluded if treatment dult reference parameter	G QD by DTG dose i Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5) 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25) 1.16 (0.88-1.54) 0.93 (0.74-1.16) 0.59 (0.49-0.72) 1.03 (0.73-1.45) 1.72 (1.28-2.31) QD. and non-adherence was suspected S.
anaemia with clin disseminated/mill • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)* T <sub>1/2</sub> (h)* Vd/F (L)* CL/F (L/h)* * GMRs (90% CI) for DTG BID+F † Individual AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> while on B PK profiles were included in PK (C0: Ctrough ratio ≥15) Table 2: PK paramet Parameter	nical sympt itary TB considered l independe demographi included in kg kg RIF versus DTG ( Summary statis ters by dose	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) Conception of the conception of the DTG 28	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0 0.60 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0 polation to AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> an east 4 timepoints were 0 < 18 year old 0	$s (GMR) for Dchildren 6-<18ong (n=5)3/29.8-27.7)1.8-2.5).20-3.68)99-2.19) \uparrow0.73-1.41).46-0.78).48-1.37).91-2.01)d used for calculatione available includingchildren and acn=5) DTG$	TG BID+RIF versus DTG 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) † 0.86 (0.64-1.37) 0.56 (0.39-0.80) 1.20 (0.75-1.94) 2.05 (1.32-3.19) n of GMR for AUC <sub>0.24h</sub> on BID versus Cmax, and were excluded if treatmeter dult reference parameter 50mg BID + RIF (n=7)	G QD by DTG dose in <b>Overall (n=13)</b> 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25 1.16 (0.88-1.54 0.93 (0.74-1.16 0.59 (0.49-0.72 1.03 (0.73-1.45 1.72 (1.28-2.31 OD ont non-adherence was suspected TS. Adult reference DTG 50mg QD <sup>[2]</sup> (N=1
anaemia with clin disseminated/mill • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)* T <sub>1/2</sub> (h)* Vd/F (L)* CL/F (L/h)* * GMRs (90% CI) for DTG BID+F † Individual AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> while on B PK profiles were included in PK (C0: Ctrough ratio ≥15) Table 2: PK paramet Parameter	nical sympt itary TB considered l independe demographi included in kg kg RIF versus DTG G D dosing were m summary statis ters by dose	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) Conceptore (1) GMR (90% CI) Conceptore (1) Conceptore (1) Conceptor	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.1 ( $^{1}$ 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0 0.60 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0 0.81 (0	s (GMR) for D         children 6-<18	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) + 0.86 (0.64-1.37) 0.56 (0.39-0.80) 1.20 (0.75-1.94) 2.05 (1.32-3.19) m of GMR for AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> on BID versus Cmax, and were excluded if treatme dult reference parameter 50mg BID + RIF (n=7) 1.11 (99)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5) 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25) 1.16 (0.88-1.54) 0.93 (0.74-1.16) 0.59 (0.49-0.72) 1.03 (0.73-1.45) 1.72 (1.28-2.31) O.59 (0.49-0.72) 1.72 (1.28-2.31) S QD. ent non-adherence was suspected rS. Adult reference DTG 50mg QD <sup>[2]</sup> (N=1) 0.83 (26)
anaemia with cli disseminated/mili • All events were of investigators and Table 1: Participant of children 6-<18 years Parameter Sex Weight, kg DTG BID dose, mg/k C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L)* AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)* C <sub>max</sub> (mg/L)* T <sub>1/2</sub> (h)* Vd/F (L)* CL/F (L/h)* * GMRs (90% CI) for DTG BID+F † Individual AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> while on B PK profiles were included in PK (C0: Ctrough ratio ≥15) Table 2: PK paramet Parameter C <sub>trough</sub> (mg/L) AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> (h*mg/L)	nical sympt itary TB considered l independe demographi included in kg kg RIF versus DTG G D dosing were m summary statis ters by dose	unlikely related ent reviewers ics and Geomet the PK part of t Female/Male Median (range) Mean (range) GMR (90% CI) GMR (90% CI) CD (reference). nultiplied by 2 for extra tics if samples from at l e in children 6 to	I to DTG by ric Mean Ratios he substudy in DTG 28 24.9 (1 2.1 ( $^{-2}$ 24.9 (1 2.10 (1 1.47 (0. 1.02 (0 0.60 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0 0.81 (0 1.36 (0 0.81 (0 0.90 (16) 53.4 (21)	s (GMR) for D         children 6-<18	TG BID+RIF versus DTC 8 years DTG 50mg (n=8) 5/3 33.0 (28.1-48.5) 2.8 (2.1-3.6) 1.25 (0.71-2.19) 0.98 (0.61-1.51) † 0.86 (0.64-1.37) 0.56 (0.39-0.80) 1.20 (0.75-1.94) 2.05 (1.32-3.19) n of GMR for AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> on BID versus Cmax, and were excluded if treatme dult reference parameter 50mg BID + RIF (n=7) 1.11 (99) 60.3 (63)	G QD by DTG dose in Overall (n=13) 8/5 31.3 (19.8-48.5 2.5 (1.8-3.6) 1.56 (1.09-2.25 1.16 (0.88-1.54 0.93 (0.74-1.16 0.59 (0.49-0.72 1.03 (0.73-1.45 1.72 (1.28-2.31 3 QD. a QD. a QD. a Adult reference DTG 50mg QD <sup>[2]</sup> (N=1 0.83 (26) 43.4 (20)





## Anna Turkova<sup>5</sup>, and the ODYSSEY-trial team

Opportunistic infections (CROI) 2020, March 8 to 11, Boston, Massachusetts.













P# 2835

mrcctu.trial-odyssey@ucl

**Results (III)** 



**Figure 2:** Mean plasma concentration versus time curves for children on DTG 25mg BID + RIF and DTG 25mg QD (A) and DTG 50mg BID + RIF and DTG 50 QD (**B**)

# Conclusions

 Twice daily DTG dosing was safe and sufficient to overcome RIF enzyme-inducing effect in children with HIV/TB co-infection aged 6-<18 years

These results support doubling DTG dose for children aged 6 to <18 years treated for TB with RIF-containing regimens

• This study included no data on doubling adult DTG dose when it is given with RIF in children weighing <25kg

Embedding PK substudies, including PK TB-HIV drug interaction studies, in phase II/III paediatric trials accelerates obtaining key data for treating children in timely manner

## References

[1] WHO dosing guidance 2019 https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-update-2019-policy/en/ [2] Dooley KE, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013; 62:21–7 [3] Min et al. AIDS 2011; 25(14):1737-45. [4] Bollen et al. J Chrom E 2019; 1105: 76-84.



**Smarter Studies Global Impact Better Health** 



