Blood Telomere Length changes after DRV/R + either RAL or TDF/FTC as first line ART

Natalia Stella-Ascariz⁽¹⁾, Rocio Montejano⁽¹⁾, Javier Rodriguez-Centeno⁽¹⁾, Belen Alejos⁽²⁾, Christine Schwimmer⁽³⁾, Jose I Bernardino⁽¹⁾, Berta Rodes⁽¹⁾, Clotilde Allavena⁽⁴⁾, Christian Hoffmann⁽⁵⁾, Magnus Gisslén⁽⁶⁾, Rosa de Miguel⁽¹⁾, Cédrick Wallet⁽³⁾, François Raffi⁽⁷⁾, Jose R Arribas⁽¹⁾, for the ANRS 143/NEAT 001 Study Group

(1) Hospital La Paz Institute for Health Research, Madrid, Spain, (2) Institute of Health Research Center, Bordeaux, France, (4) CHU Hôtel-Dieu, Nantes, France, (5) ICH Study Center, Hamburg, Germany, (6) Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, (8) CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France

Background

infected patients.

Hypothesis

telomere length (TL) changes.

Objectives

who start ART.

Methods

96 weeks).



Telomere length, expressed as ratio of telomere (T) to single-copy gene (S), was determined by monochrome quantitative multiplex PCR assay (3).

- Baseline and follow-up samples were analyzed together in the same run.
- All samples were run in triplicate and those with a coefficient of variation (CV) > 10% were retested.
- Intra and inter-assay CV were 4.7% and 5.7%, respectively.

Statistics: Multivariable estimative analysis and predictive linear regression for TL change (week 96 minus baseline). All models were adjusted by baseline TL.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by an integration grant of the NEAT ID Foundation and PI13/01467 grant from Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (supported by FEDER funds). NSA is supported by a predoctoral fellowship financed by Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias.

25th Conference on Retrovirus and Opportunistic Infections. Boston March 4-7 2018











RAL + DRV/r N=104	TDF/FTC + DRV/r N=97	p-value
38.7 (10.4)	38.6 (10.8)	0.961
11 (10.6)	11 (11.3)	0.862
35 (33.7)	39 (40.2)	0.417
4 (3.8)	10 (10.3)	0.197
2.2 (3.3)	2.0 (2.8)	0.699
4.7 (0.7)	4.7 (0.6)	0.729
99 (95.2)	89 (91.8)	0.322
8 (4-12.6)	18 (9.4-24.1)	< 0.001
332.6 ± 133.3	315.3 ± 122.2	0.339
65.52 ± 159.6	253.40 ± 167.4	0.602
948.2 ± 442.7	924.6 ± 500.2	0.507
123.9 ± 442.2	-124.9.6 ± 350.4	0.987
0.5 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.2	0.536
0.4 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.3	0.403
94 (90.4)	83 (85.6)	0.558
35 (33.7)	30 (30.9)	0.918



	RAL + DRV/r	TDF/FTC + DRV/r	p-value
	N=104	N=97	
52)	0.750 (0.154)	0.724 (0.149)	0.221
36)	0.760 (0.133)	0.772 (0.140)	
)41)	0.009 (-0.007; 0.026)	0.048 (0.028; 0.067)	0.009*
58)	59 (56.73)	69 (71.13)	0.034
8)	21 (20.19)	26 (26.80)	0.268

Telomere Length Change at week 96

Unadjusted -Gender Ethnicity -Tobacco -Alcohol -Statins Mode of HIV infection -Time since HIV diagnosis -Baseline HIV RNA -Baseline CD4+ -CD4 nadir Baseline CD8+ -Baseline CD4+/CD8+-

> Favours (higher gain of TL at week 96)

Independent predictors of change in TL

TDF/FTC vs RAL

Younger age

No current drinker

*Adjusted by baseline telomere length

Discussion

The observed gain in blood TL probably represent shifts in CD8 lymphocytes subpopulations towards less mature phenotypes with longer TL.

Our current hypothesis is that differences in TL are due to a higher efficacy of TDF/FTC to decrease viral replication in lymph nodes. Two recent studies have reported that compared to TDF and FTC, both DRV and RAL have lower drug levels in lymph node tissue (4, 5).

Conclusions

After 96 weeks participants receiving TDF/FTC + DRV/r had a significant higher gain in blood TL than those receiving RAL + DRV/r.

The cause and clinical relevance of these differences between ART regimens are unknown and require further research.

UNIÓN EUROPEA

Fondo Europeo de **Desarrollo Regional (FEDER)** Una manera de hacer Europa



Iospital Universitario La Paz Hospital de Cantoblanco Hospital Carlos III





Mean Difference (95%CI) p-value * 0.033 (0.010; 0.056) 0.005 0.097 0.001 (0.000; 0.002) 0.026 0.052 (0.006; 0.094)

This is the first comparative clinical trial evaluating blood TL changes in naïve HIV participants starting ART.



REFERENCES

- (1) Leeansyah E, et al. J Infect Dis. 2013; 207(7):1157–65.
- (2) Stella-Ascariz N, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;74(1):91-94.
- (3) Cawthon RM. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Feb;37(3):e21.
- (4) Fletcher CV, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014;111(6):2307–12. (5) Lee S, et al. CROI, February 13–16, 2017. Seattle. Abstract #407