Outcomes of Patients Enrolled in ART Adherence Clubs After Viral Re-suppression
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Background

• In order to achieve the 90-90-90 targets differentiated models of antiretroviral therapy (ART) delivery must be implemented
• Differentiated delivery models have to date been limited to low risk, stable patients

Methods

• Retrospective cohort analysis of unstable patients who re-suppressed following a treatment failure intervention and were referred directly to an ART adherence club (AC)

Setting

• Ubuntu ART Clinic in Khayelitsha, a high-burden, low-resource township near Cape Town, South Africa

Risk of Treatment Failure Intervention

• Beginning in 2012, patients with consecutive viral loads above 400 copies/mL attended a lay healthcare worker (LHCW) led support session followed by a consultation with a nurse trained in providing integrated adherence and clinical management
• Patients were referred directly to Adherence Clubs after re-suppression (VL<400 copies/mL)

Adherence Club Model

• Groups of ~30 patients meet with a LHCW five times a year for peer support, brief symptom screening and ART supply
• Annual viral load monitoring and visit with a clinician

Analysis

• We report patient characteristics at baseline and outcomes [retention in care and viral rebound (VL<1000 copies/mL)] using Kaplan-Meier methods with follow up to mid-June 2015

Conclusions

• Unstable patients can be referred to differentiated models of care with good outcomes
• Differentiated models may remove barriers to care while also decongesting overburdened health facilities
• This model has implications for successful achievement of the 90-90-90 targets

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier plots of: (a) 18-month retention, (b) 18-month Adherence Club retention and (c) 18-month viral suppression following re-suppression and referral to an AC

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of Risk of Treatment Failure patients who re-suppressed and were referred to an AC

Table 2. Kaplan Meier Estimates of Retention in Care, Retention in Club Care and Viral Suppression by duration of follow up after first AC meeting
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